UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,180	07/21/2003	Antonius Johannes Van Der Net	081468-0305146	4722
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP Eric S. Cherry - Docketing Supervisor P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			EXAMINER	
			FULLER, RODNEY EVAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2862	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/623,180	VAN DER N	VAN DER NET ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Rodney E. Fuller	2862			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover shee	et with the corresponden	ce address		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUM (36(a). In no event, however, may will expire SIX (6) cause the application to become	JNICATION.  Bay a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	of this communication.		
Status			•	•		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 February 2007</u>.</li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>.</li> <li>This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) □ 6) ☒ 7) □ 8) □ <b>Applicati</b> 9) □ 10) ☒	Claim(s) 1,4-10,13 and 16-26 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,4-10,13 and 16-26 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 06 July 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Confidence of the confidence of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Confidence of the confidence of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Confidence of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Confidence of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Confidence of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Confidence of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Confidence of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Confidence of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Confidence of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Confidence of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Confidence of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Confidence of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Confidence of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Confidence of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Confidence of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Confidence of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Confidence of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Confidence of the oath oath of the oath oath oath oath oath oath oath oath	r election requirement.  T accepted or b) □ oldrawing(s) be held in about the drawing is required if the draw	ojected to by the Exami eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85 ving(s) is objected to. See	5(a). 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Rodney Fuller  Primary Examiner						
AMm-Less - 4	(2)			$\Omega \Omega$ .		
2)  Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 2/26/07.	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application	n H		

Application/Control Number: 10/623,180

Art Unit: 2862

# **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Remarks

1. The Office Action, mailed April 12, 2007, set forth the approval of the request for deferral/suspension of action under 37 CFR 1.103. The Office Action also included a Miscellaneous Office Action to withdraw the Office Action mailed March 20, 2007. As noted in the Miscellaneous Office Action, the examiner had overlooked the request for a three month suspension submitted with the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) on February 26, 2007. The examiner has repeated below the Office Action previously vacated given that the suspension has expired.

(Action originally sent to applicant on March 20, 2007 and vacated on April 12, 2007.)

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 26, 2007 has been entered.

## **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/623,180

Art Unit: 2862

obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1, 4-10, 13 and 16-26 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 7,113,254 (Van Der Net, et al.). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. Independent claim 1 is fully met by claims 1-3 of Van Der Net (US 7,113,254). Independent claim 13 is fully met by claims 11-13 of Van Der Net (US 7,113,254). Likewise, dependent claims 4-10, 16-20, 23 and 24 correspond to claims 4-7, 1, 8, 9, 14, 17, 16, 17, 18, 2 and 9 respectively of Van Der Net (US 7,113,254).

Regarding claims 22 and 25, the claims of Van Der Net (US 7,113,254) do not set forth the limitation "configured to purge gas mixture flow rate of at least 20 liters per minute." However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the claims of Van Der Net (US 7,113,254) to include the flow rate of 20 liters per minute, since it has been held that discovering an

Application/Control Number: 10/623,180

Art Unit: 2862

optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Page 4

## Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney E. Fuller whose telephone number is 571-272-2118. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Assouad can be reached on 571-272-2210. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rodney E Fuller Primary Examiner Art Unit 2862

August 14, 2007